1	Kevin S. Sinclair, NV Bar No. 12277 ksinclair@sinclairbraun.com	
2	SINCLAIR BRAUN KARGHER LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd., Suite 715	
3	Sherman Oaks, California 91403 Telephone: (213) 429-6100	
4	Facsimile: (213) 429-6101	
5	Attorneys for Defendant NORTH AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY	
6	DESIGNATED LOCAL COUNSEL FOR SERVICE	
7	PER L.R. IA 11-1(b)	
8	Janet Trost, Esq. 501 S. Rancho Drive	
9	Suite H-56 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	Case No.: 2:21-cv-00415-KJD-VCF
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY
14	vs.	CASE
15	NORTH AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE	
16	COMPANY,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	COMES NOW defendant North American Title Insurance Company ("North American")	
20	and plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA"), by and through their respective attorneys of	
21	record, which hereby agree and stipulate as follows:	
22	WHEREAS This is one of over 100 title insurance coverage disputes pending before the	
23	courts within the state of Nevada following an HOA foreclosure sale. Virtually all of these actions	
24	concern the form ALTA 1992 or ALTA 2006 loan policy of title insurance, along with the CLTA	
25	100/ATLA 9 endorsement and potentially a CLTA 115.2/ATLA 5 or CLTA 115.1/ALTA 4	
26	endorsement;	
27	WHEREAS The parties have met and conferred and believe that a stay of this action is	
28	warranted. On April 11, 2023, the Nevada Supreme Court heard oral argument in two actions	



Case 2:21-cv-00415-KJD-VCF Document 53 Filed 10/12/23 Page 2 of 3

involving similar coverage disputes, PennyMac Corp. v. Westcor Land Title Ins. Co., Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83737 ("PennyMac") and Deutsche Bank Nat'l Trust Co. v. Fidelity Nat'l Title Ins. Co., Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 84161 ("Deutsche Bank"). The Parties anticipate that the Nevada Supreme Court's forthcoming decision in the Appeals may touch upon issues of interpretation of the form policy and endorsements which could potentially affect the disposition of this action, as the Appeals involve the interpretation of the same form policy and endorsements as are at issue here; WHEREAS the Parties believe a stay in the instant action will best serve the interests of judicial economy. To that end, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree that the instant case shall be stayed pending the resolution of the *PennyMac* and *Deutsche Bank* Appeals. The Parties further agree that any of the Parties who wishes to terminate the stay shall provide notice to the Court and other parties, and shall not be required to submit a motion requesting that the stay be lifted. The stay shall automatically terminate fourteen (14) days after the party provides such notice. The currently pending dispositive motions shall be rendered moot by the entry of the instant stay, without prejudice to their refiling upon the lifting of the stay. North American shall have 30 days from the lifting of the stay in order to file its responsive pleadings. // // // // // //



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

